

Feasibility, Safety, and Efficacy of MicroNet-covered stent in consecutive increased-risk iliac stenotic lesions to reconstruct anatomy and guard effective flow: A multi-center, multi-specialty study

FLOWGUARD-ILIAC, NCT04461717

Piotr Paluszek on behalf of FLOWGUARD-ILIAC Investigators Dept. of Vascular Surgery and Endovascular Interventions John Paul II Hospital, Krakow

Disclosure

Speaker name:

Piotr Paluszek

I have the following potential conflicts of interest to report:

- Consulting
- Employment in industry
- Stockholder of a healthcare company
- Owner of a healthcare company
- Other(s)

☑ I do not have any potential conflict of interest

Background

In **increased-risk lesions**, conventional (single-layer) stents used in iliac artery revascularization have <u>important limitations</u>:

 highly-calcific stenoses (risk of perforation limits stent optimization whereas suboptimal expansion is a risk factor for instent restenosis)

 thrombotic lesions (where the "cheese-grater" effect may lead to distal embolism)

Unique combination of Radial force, High conformability, + MicroNET coverage: Enabling <u>Endovascular Reconstruction</u>



Stent adaption in a curved and in a straight vessel model with an inner diameter step from 7 to 5 mm for InspireMD CGUARD

(macrophotography)





Stent adaption in a curved and in a straight vessel model with an inner diameter step from 7 to 5 mm for InspireMD CGUARD

(micro CT)

C Wissgott JEVT 2017, VEITH 2018

P Musialek @ ICI 2018

MicroNET-covered stent

- has the ability to sequestrate the atherothrombotic material
- in highly calcific lesions, due to a degree of sealing properties, enables high-balloon diameter, high-pressure optimization of the angiographic result and may minimize residual stenosis

hence its potential to improve the outcomes

Mazurek at al. Catheter Cardiovasc Interv. 2019 Jul 1;94(1):149-156.

Musialek P [for the OPTIMA Trial Investigators]. TCT 2022 Featured Research. https://linkprotect.cudasvc.com/url?a=https%3a%2f%2fd14d5nk8lue86f.cloudfront.net%2fs3fs-public%2f2022-09%2fa355a7ab-6d71-44de-8ecf-6712bd763300.pdf&c=E,1,xK2Fw9O-JSi5KXyomTPyXu-tja-oloF8cvo8Ajkh1x8MazTh421XPOZs3ZxftkaVmHvbZjcsZgWbXdPCx-9KyxO4KL31rY3OvE2GyUYr1eglWddOwVC2omNpCdUQ&typo=1



Aim of the FLOWGUARD-ILIAC study NCT04461717

Investigator-initiated, industry-independent study to test, in a multi-center, multi-specialty (vascular surgery, radiology, angiology, cardiology) setting, the use of MicroNET-covered stent to treat increased-risk iliac lesions, in consecutive patients undergoing percutaneous iliac artery revascularization (claudicants or iliac-related limb-threatening ischaemia).

Methods:

1. "Increased-risk lesion" by CT angio/ catheter angiography

Consensus by the operator intending to perform the case + 2 other operators

2. Internal iliac artery coverage to be avoided.

3. Active recruitment: 24 months (COVID-19 break).

FLOWGUARD-ILIAC Investigators



Patients

n = 65 41 Men (63.1%) 24 Women (36.9%) Age: 53-83, mean age 68.6 years

Claudicants – 59 (90.8%) Critical limb ischemia – 6 (9.2%)

Coronary artery disease	41 (63.1 %)
Congestive Heart Failure	14 (21.5%)
Previous stroke	14 (21.5%)
Hypertension	63 (97%)
Dyslipidaemia	65 (100%)
Diabetes/glucose intolerance	33 (50.8%)
Carotid Artery Disease	32 (49.2%)
Previous PCI/CABG	25 (38.5%)
Previous CAS/CEA	22 (33.8%)
Smoking	Current – 21 (32.3%) Past – 37 (57%) None – 7 (10.7%)

The iliacs treated



Side:

- Left 20 patients
- Right 38 patients
- Both 7 patients

Artery:

- LCIA 20 (26.0%)
- RCIA 24 (31.2%)
- LEIA 10 (13.0%)
- REIA 23 (29.8%)

Lesion characteristics n=77

- Highly-calcific 34 (44.1%)
- Thrombotic (incl. thrombotic dissection) 35 (45.5%)
- Other high-risk 8 (10.4%)

Mean stenosis severity before the procedure 82.7 ± 9.3% (angiolab analysis)

Complex CTO recanalization – 4 arteries (5.2 %)

Stents used



Nominal diameter 7 – 10 mm mean 9.4 mm
Length 20 – 60 mm mean 36.3 mm

D I A M E T E R	7 mm	11
	8mm	14
	9 mm	21
	10mm	34
L E N G T H S	20mm	9
	30mm	25
	40mm	36
	60mm	10

No stents other than the study device were used.

Procedure

Access			
Femoral	50		
Femoral bilateral	5		
Radial	8		
Brachial	2		

Predilatation 34 arteries (44.1%) **Balloon diameters** 3.5 - 8 mmaverage 5.3 mm Pressures 6 – 24 atm average 14.8 atm

Postdilatation 77 arteries (100%) **Balloon diameters** 6 - 10 mmaverage 7.6 mm Pressures 8 – 24 atm average 15.4 atm

Immediate results

- Procedure performed with intended device 100%
- Technical success (residual stenosis below 30%) 100%
- Clinical success (technical success + no MACE) 100%
- Residual stenosis: 8.0 ± 6.3 %

Complications:

- Death/MI/Stroke/Transfusion-requiring bleeding: 0
- Perforation: 0
- Embolism: 0
- Groin hematoma: 2 (3.1%)







Optimal procedural result

Optimal <u>6mo follow-up</u>



Acute procedural result

MicroNetcovered stent **Optimal** anatomic result @follow-up



(V) Higly calcific disease (note: adequate radial force need)



(V) Higly calcific disease (note adequate radial force need)

MicroNet-covered stent







Acute Procedural Result



(V) Higly calcific disease (note: adequate radial force provided)

MicroNet-covered stent





Optimal anatomic result @ 6mo

6 mo OUTCOMES (Primary endpoint = CTA)

65 patients (100%)

Imaging follow-up Performed (per patient)		Clinical (per patient) Clinical improvement	Restenosis rate (per lesion treated)
СТА	58 (89.2 %)	(claudication distance increase and/or limb saved) – 100%	In-stent 0 (0.0%)
Catheter Angiography	3 (4.6 %)	Death/MI/Stroke – 1 (MI)	In-segment 1 (1.3%) (progression of edge
Doppler-Duplex ultrasound (renal failure progr.)	4 (6.2 %)	adding another Constants and the constant of the stends of	stenosis treated by adding another CGuard stent)

Conclusions

In increased-risk iliac artery lesions requiring revascularization, the MicroNET-covered stent:

• is safe and effective

 allows to optimize the angiographic result in absence of embolism or other complications

achieved 100% primary patency in absence of ISR by 6 mo