Evaluation of PET Mesh Covered Stent in Patients with Carotid Artery Disease

# The CARENET-Trial

(CAR otid Embolic protection using microNET)

Joachim Schofer (PI) Piotr Musialek (Co-PI) On behalf of the CARENET Investigators

Joachim Schofer, MD,PhD, Hamburg University CardiovascularCenter, Hamburg Germany Piotr Musialek, MD, PhD, Jagiellonian University Medical College at John Paul II Hospital, Krakow, Poland, Ralf Kolvenbach, MD, PhD, Augusta Hospital, Dusseldorf, Germany, Horst Sievert, MD, PhD, Cardiovascular Center Frankfurt, Frankfurt, Germany





### **Disclosure Statement of Financial Interest**

Within the past 12 months, I or my spouse/partner have had a financial interest/arrangement or affiliation with the organization(s) listed below.

### **Affiliation/Financial Relationship**

Grant/Research Support

### Company

• InspireMD





# **Rationale of Technology**

### **Conventional Carotid Stent**

Plaque protrusion may lead to early and late distal embolization







Columbia University Medical Center

- NewYork-Presbyterian

# Late Embolization

### DW-MRI post CAS



Schofer J et al, JACC Cardiovasc interv 2008



Columbia University Medical Center

- NewYork-Presbyterian



### CGuard<sup>™</sup> Carotid Embolic Prevention System Specifications

Device Features	
Stent type	Nitinol Self-Expanding
MicroNet Aperture Size	150-180µ
Guidewire	0.014"
Foreshortening	<10%
Sizes	Diameter( 6mm-10mm) x Length (20mm – 60mm)
Delivery System (OD)	6F (2.1mm)





CE marked. Not approved for sale in the US



# CGuard<sup>™</sup> CARENET (<u>CAR</u>otid <u>E</u>mbolic protection using micro<u>NET</u>) Trial Design

### Study Design:

 Prospective, multi-center, multi-specialty, international, open label, single arm, non-randomized clinical trial in patients with symptomatic and asymptomatic carotid artery stenosis

### Objectives:

 To evaluate the periprocedural safety and efficacy of the CGuard<sup>™</sup> system in the treatment of carotid lesions in 30 consecutive patients suitable for carotid artery stenting (CAS)

#### Sites:

- Hamburg University CardiovascularCenter, Hamburg Germany, Joachim Schofer
- Jagiellonian University MedicalCollege at JohnPaul II Hospital, Krakow Poland, Piotr Musialek
- Cardiovascular Center Frankfurt, Frankfurt Germany, Horst Seivert
- Augusta Hospital, Dusseldorf Germany, Ralf Kolvenbach





# CGuard<sup>™</sup> CARENET (<u>CAR</u>otid <u>E</u>mbolic protection using micro<u>NET</u>) Trial Design

- Study Population:
  - Symptomatic pts (w/ history of a transient ischemic attack, stroke, or amaurosis fugax within the last 6 mos on the ipsilateral side) w/carotid stenosis ≥ 50%
  - Asymptomatic pts w/ carotid stenosis ≥ 80% both as diagnosed by angiography using NASCET methodology
- Primary Endpoint:
  - 30 day MACE (death, stroke, MI)
- Key secondary Endpoints:
  - Technical success
  - Periprocedural complications (including device-related)
  - Incidence, number and volume of new lesions assessed by DW MRI during preprocedure, 24-48 hours post-procedure, and at 30 days (+/- 3 days)
  - Peak systolic velocity (PSV) and end diastolic velocity (EDV) assessment by ultrasound examination at 30 days, 6 mos, and 1 year





### Baseline Characteristics (n=30)

Age	71.6 ±7.6
Male	63.4%
Symptomatic	33.3% (10)
BMI (kg/m²)	$26.4 \pm 3.9$
Hypertension	83.3% (25)
Dyslipidemia	90% (27)
Diabetics	23.3% (7)
Smoker: Current Former	13.4% (4) 36.6% (11)
Prior MI	26.7% (8)
Prior TIA	13.3% (4)





### **Procedure Results**

Femoral access		100% (30)	
Target vessel	Left ICA Right ICA	33.3% (10) 66.6% (20)	
Protection used	Distal protection Proximal protection	100% 96.6% (29) 3.4% (1)	
Pre dilatation		70.9% (22)	
Post dilatation		77.4% (24)	
Post dilatation Pressure	(ATM)	13.6 ±4.5	
Device success		100% (30)	
Stent deployed		100% (30)	
Stent diameter (Mean)		8.23mm ± 0.8	
Stent length (Mean)		34.8 mm ± 5.0	
Second stent used		3.33% (1)	





## Pre & Post Procedure Carotid Angiogram in **Patient with right ICA Stenosis**







Columbia University Medical Center

- NewYork-Presbyterian

### **Clinical Outcomes**

	Post Procedure	Discharge	30 days
Device success	100%	NA	NA
MACE	0%	0%	0%
Death	0%	0%	0%
MI	0%	0%	0%
Stroke	0%	0%	0%





## **Angiographic Assessment**

	Baseline	Final
Lesion location (internal)	100%	NA
Lesion length (mm)	16.94±4.7	NA
RVD (mm)	6.18	5.89
MLD (mm)	1.25	4.82
Diameter stenosis (%)	79.9%±5.0%	16.9%±6.5% (in stent)
ECA stenosis (%)	18.0%	22.1%
TIMI flow in ECA		
Normal	100.0%	100.0%





## CARENET with Distal Protection DW-MRI @ 24-48 hrs

	CARENET CGuard with only Distal EPD (N=26*)
Incidence of New Lesions	46%
Lesions (per patient)	1.62 ±2.68
Volume (per patient)	0.061 ±0.11 cm <sup>3</sup>

- \*3 pts unable to undergo MRI (1 = pacemaker; 2 = claustrophobia)
- 1 pt with proximal protection had 78 new lesions. New ischemic lesions had no clinical or neurological impact, all lesions been resolved at 30 days.





## CARENET Comparison DW-MRI @ 24-48 hrs

	CARENET (Filter group) N=26	PROFI <sup>1</sup> (Filter group) N=31	ICSS <sup>2</sup> (Filter group) N=37
Incidence of New Lesions	48%	87%	73%
Avg Lesion Volume	0.06 cm <sup>3</sup>	0.59 cm <sup>3</sup>	NA

<sup>1</sup> JACC, April 2012 <sup>2</sup> Lancet, March 2010





### Conclusions

- CARENET trial demonstrated the safety of the CGuard<sup>™</sup> Technology with zero MACCE at 30 days
- The procedural success was 100%
- Compared to published DW-MRI data of non-mesh covered carotid stents, the incidence of new ischemic lesions was reduced by almost 50% and the average lesion volume per patient 10 times smaller
- These initial clinical results suggest that the MicroNet<sup>™</sup> covered CGuard<sup>™</sup> offers unique clinical benefits for patients undergoing CAS





Columbia University Medical Center

NewYork-Presbyterian